Note-this worksheet is not to be used with the Short Form Merit Review Process. See Short Form Merit Process Instructions.

The following worksheet is recommended for use in preparing reappointment, merit, promotion, and appraisal files. Since campus reviewers require the information requested below to be in the file before making a recommendation, department chairs should ensure that it is all included when the file is submitted. If a required item cannot be included, this should be explicitly stated in the departmental recommendation letter. Coverage of these points should be complete,

#### ITEMS REQUIRED FOR THE ACADEMIC REVIEW FILE

Items are listed in the order of appearance from beginning to end

1.	UCSD A	cademic Recommendation Summary Form (GC/HS/SIO)			
		Ensure Action Proposed matches the Departmental Recommendation Letter and the			
		Academic Biography/Bibliography packet.			
		"Present Status" and "Proposed Status"			
		Highest degree			
		Proposed title, rank, step, salary, effective date			
		Department vote results included and properly documented.			
		If relevant and applicable, calculate the numbers of service years that would be applied toward an eight-year limit propose title			
2.	2. UC Academic Employment History (Required if Candidate has Prior UC Academic Employment History at UCSD or Any UC Campus)				
		Appointment history listed and includes the following:			
		<ul> <li>Appointment Period (Dates)</li> </ul>			
		Title and Step			
		Percent Time			
		Department			
		-Include periods of leave			
<ul><li>3.</li><li>4.</li></ul>					
		Proposed status for which approvals are being requested to include:			
		Title, Rank, & Step			
		• Salary			
		<ul><li>Salary</li><li>Effective Date</li></ul>			
		Effective Date			
		<ul> <li>Effective Date</li> <li>Provide thorough justification when recommending award of a bonus off-scale salary</li> <li>Internationally recognized and acclaimed research</li> </ul>			
		• Effective Date  Provide thorough justification when recommending award of a bonus off-scale salary			
		<ul> <li>Effective Date</li> <li>Provide thorough justification when recommending award of a bonus off-scale salary</li> <li>Internationally recognized and acclaimed research</li> <li>Discussion of candidate's standing in his/her field to include international</li> </ul>			

		Include departmental standards for normal advancement	
		Note conflicts of interest in the file	
		• Ensure the file writer has not collaborated with the candidate in the past 5	
		years	
	<del>  -</del>		
		Department consultation and vote results	
		<ul> <li>Include an explanation for negative votes, abstentions, and absences</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Verify the vote complies with policy</li> </ul>	
		o PPM 230-28 and Academic Senate Bylaw 55	
5.	☐ Depart	ment Standards for Advancement or Promotion (Include in Dept. Letter)	
		Evaluate the candidate's qualifications and effectiveness in the areas of research &	
		creative activity, teaching activities, and University and public service	
		Research & Creative Activities	
		Describe and evaluate the research and other creative activity	
		·	
		conducted during candidate's career and its impact his/her	
		respective field	
		<ul> <li>Indicate journal and conference proceeding standings</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Indicate whether journals are refereed and their rate of</li> </ul>	
		acceptance/rejection	
		o Discuss candidate's success in obtaining funding for research and	
		other creative activities	
		<ul> <li>Indicate the candidate's grant-related roles</li> </ul>	
		Teaching Activities	
		<ul> <li>Discuss teaching effectiveness</li> </ul>	
		o Discuss any problems in the area of teaching, and specify	
		performance improvement plans	
		o Compare candidate's teaching load to normal departmental	
		teaching load	
		Service	
		o Describe candidate's professional achievements	
		<ul> <li>Describe the nature and quality of the candidate's service</li> </ul>	
		contributions	
6.	□ Depart	ment Chair Independent Letter (If Available)	
	Ī	The chair, in a separate letter, may make an independent evaluation and	
		recommendation which may differ from the departmental recommendation	
7.	Dissent	ing Letters (If Available)	
		Faculty may add dissenting letters into the file.	
		<ul> <li>Dissenting letters are considered non-confidential and will be available to the candidate</li> </ul>	
8.	Proof	f Outside Offer(s)	
0.		This is required for retention files	
		This is required for retendon mes	
		-If the outside offer is from a foreign institution, be sure to provide a salary conversion analysis and a	
		certified translation if the offer is in a foreign language. Both the original and the translated copy	
		must be included in the file.	

9. [	Cer	tific	ations		
	a.		Certificat	tion A (If Candidate is a Curre	ent IIC Academic Employee)
	u.	Ш			date <b>AFTER</b> he/she reviews redacted department ad
				hoc/division and external refe	
			·		
	b.		Certificat	tion B (If Candidate is a Curre	
					date AFTER he/she reviews the Department
				Recommendation Letter	
	c.	П	Certificat	tion C (If Applicable)	
	C.	ш		,	added to the file after the departmental recommendati
				is rendered, to demonstrate th	
			<u> </u>		
10. [	Ad	Hoc	Committe	ee Report (If Applicable)	
				y all committee members	
					department, a signed copy of its report, with
	Ĺ		full	membership indicated at the en	nd of the report must be included in the file
11 [	□ Car	ndida	ate's Self l	Evaluation/Personal Stateme	nt (Ontional)
11.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ement (which is optional) regarding his or her
		ш			ment should be so titled, and it must be signed and
					uch statements in part to ensure that special
				-	ss, or unusual service contributions, are fully
	Ĺ		recogniz	ed and credited.	
[	٦.,				
<b>12.</b> [	Soli	citat		to External Candidates	et Pro-Pro-I
		Ш	-	licitation letter included confider	ch solicitation was sent or an indication that the
				e letter was sent to all referees	Sil solicitation was sent of an indication that the
	L		Saiii	e letter was sent to all referees	
<b>13.</b> 「	□ Pof	oroo	I.D. List		
13. <sub>[</sub>				idential information on this form	should not appear in the departmental ad hoc
		ш		the departmental recommenda	
			-	•	to only by code on these documents
	L			Referees should be referred	to only by code on these documents
14. [	Fyte	ernal	Referee L	etters	
± [				the required numbers of letters i	ncluded3
		ш		the letters independent?	nciudeu:
				·	ferees whom the reviewers may not regard as
					pecause they are too close to the candidate
					ey have a personal relationship with the candidate
				EXTERNAL REFEREE	LETTER REQUIREMENTS
		•		Rank Appointees	3 External Referee Letters
		•		vith Potential Security of	Latters must be independent for Star III and about
	}		Employme		Letters must be independent for Step III and above
				or Full Rank Appointees	5 External Referee Letters
		•	Fmployme	turer with Potential Security of	

	•	Lecturer with Security of Employment	Letters must in independent		
Senior Lecturer with Security of					
		Employments			
	•	Academic Administrators	3 External Referee Letters		
	•	Academic Coordinators			
	•	Temporary Appointments	1 External Referee Letter		
15. Un	solicit	ted Letters of Evaluation (If Applicable)			
		Unsolicited letters of evaluation that are added to the file by the appointee are not considered confidential.			
		Unsolicited letters received by the department (and not added to the file by the appointee) may be included in the file at the department chair's discretion and are considered confidential. Before including an unsolicited letter in the review file, the department chair must send the University's confidentiality statement to the letter writer and obtain a signed or electronic authorization to use the unsolicited letter in the file. The authorization, the unsolicited letter, and the department chair's letter transmitting the confidentiality statement should be included in the file.			
		statement should be included in the me.			
16. Co	urse L	oad and Student Direction Form			
		General Campus and Scripps Institution	on of Oceanography		
		a. This information is available in electronic format from the Institutional Research Office.			
		The appointee is responsible for reviewing and ensuring the accuracy of the teaching record since the previous advancement. Contact hours per course per quarter are the hours actually spent by the faculty member on classroom instructional duties.			
		For appointees who hold instructional titles in more than one department, a complete listing of all courses taught in each department should appear on the Course Load form.			
		The appointee should annotate the Course Load form to correct any errors, and the department should report these errors to Institutional Research in University Center 409.			
		Health Sciences			
		office of the Associate D	eting the Teaching Quantification form, contact the bean for Academic Affairs in Health Sciences. This "Forms and Examples" page of the Academic site.		
17. Tea	chine	g Evaluations Including Student Comments	(If Annlicable)		
17 1ea		<u>-</u>	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
			ations), including student comments		
		Graduate, including student	comments		
	1	1	_		

Memo explaining any missing evaluations

18.		her E	vidence of Teaching Effectiveness
			In addition to teaching evaluations, other evidence of teaching effectiveness may include a copy of the syllabus for each course taught, student testimonials, reports resulting from faculty observations of classes, written analyses of course materials, reports on interviews
			with students who did well in the courses, reporting of the grade distribution along with the CAPE results, and documentation of activities in curriculum development.
19.	☐ Le	vel of	Administrative Responsibility (LAR) From (If Applicable)
			Used only for Academic Administrators and Academic Coordinators
20.	Job Do	escrip	ption for Academic Administrators and Coordinators (If Applicable)
		П	A description of the appointee's position should be submitted for Academic Administrators
		_	and Academic Coordinators. Such a description may have been developed when the
			recruitment was conducted for the position, and this can serve as the basis for the job
			description included in the review file. The description should include the working title, if
			any (e.g., Executive Director – International Affairs), and a delineation of the responsibilities
			and scope of the job. Such job descriptions typically are one the two pages in length.
			and scope of the job. Such job descriptions typically are one the two pages in length.
21.		SD A	cademic Biography and Bibliography Packet
		Ш	The Academic Biography/Bibliography Form should be completed with proper line
			placement(s) in the bibliography portion.
22.	☐ Sa	bbati	ical Leave Report (If Applicable)
		ш	If the appointee has taken a sabbatical leave since the last review, a copy of the sabbatical
			leave report must be included in the file. It should be placed, unattached, after the
			Biography and Bibliography form.
<u>ITEM</u>	S THA	T AC	COMPANY A FILE:
A.	☐ Pu	blicat	tions or Comparable Materials
			Publications should be numbered to correspond with their entry on the Bibliography  • Verify consistency
			All new items in Section A of the Biography and Bibliography form should be submitted and the corresponding citation on the Bibliography marked with an asterisk
			<ul> <li>All significant career publications should be submitted and the corresponding citation of the Biography and Bibliography form marked with an asterisk</li> </ul>
			If publications are submitted by way of an online hyperlink verify the links are functional
В.	☐ Ra	w Tea	aching Data (If Applicable)
			In general, reviewers prefer that teaching data (from student evaluations) be summarized in
			the review file. Occasionally, however, a department may wish to include raw teaching data
			(e.g., all student evaluation forms for a particular course) in addition to a summary in order
			to clarify the teaching record. Such data should accompany the file in a separate, clearly
			labeled folder.